

DRAFT

Subtopic G10: Section 4(f)

Twelve public and agency comments were received on the G10: Section 4(f) subtopic. From those 12 comments, 5 common concern statements were created. Themes for the G10: Section 4(f) subtopic include:

- There is opposition to any alternative that directly or indirectly harms or diminishes Section 4(f) resources, including the Georgetown-Silver Plume National Historic Landmark District.
- New Section 4(f) resources may have been identified since the original scoping in 2000–2001.
- The Section 4(f) analysis should not be postponed until after the Tier 1 Record of Decision. Doing so could result in foreclosing alternatives that could avoid, minimize, or mitigate harm to historic properties.
- There is concern that all potentially affected recreation areas and disruption of their use have not been identified.

CCS No.	Community Values Common Concern Statements
Subtopic G10: Section 4(f)	
G 10 - a	Protection of Section 4(f) Resources There is opposition to any alternative that directly or indirectly harms or diminishes any Section 4(f) resources, such as the Georgetown-Silver Plume National Historic Landmark (NHL) District.
G 10 - b	New 4(f) Resources in Georgetown New Section 4(f) resources may have been established in Georgetown since the scoping was done in 2000-2001.
G 10 - c	Postponement of 4(f) Analysis to Tier 2 Section 4(f) analyses should not be postponed until after a Tier 1 Record of Decision. When all alternatives lie within the same corridor, there is no reason for deferring the Section 4(f) evaluation involving historic properties, (in contrast to projects involving multiple alternative corridors). In fact, deferring this consideration could have the effect of foregoing alternatives that could avoid, minimize, or mitigate harm to historic properties.
G 10 - d	Recreation Areas and Trails All potentially affected recreation areas and trails eligible for Section 4(f) protection need to be identified and disruption of use during construction must be avoided.
G 10 - e	Clear Creek Silver Plume depends on Clear Creek as a major recreation source for fishing. It is believed that wide-scale widening of the Creek will result in a severe degradation of the fishing. Damages to the creek are not acceptable as part of the I-70 expansion process. A complete review of Section 4(f) concerns should be conducted prior to selection of the Preferred Alternative in the Record of Decision. Expansion of I-70 is a violation of the statutes with regard to public recreation facilities.